

Our diplomatic correspondent, James Robbins, is here. A sour ending to a tense gathering, but overall how did Nato emerge from this meeting? Well, this was always going to be a factious gathering, I think, a bad-tempered one, given there are substantial disagreements about Nato's future purpose, its priorities and its ability to deliver, but in fact today's formal session of the 29 leaders, there were some successes, averting a major crisis, heading off a move from Turkey to block a move against the Baltic states against a Russian threat. Present hurdy-gurdy of Turkey got some language against terrorism. -- President Erdogan. They got some moves to declare space a new operational domain for Nato, to try and make any challenge stop they buried some disagreements but progress with others, declaring China a potential challenge and worry but I think, behind all this, Donald Trump was, as so often, the key player. He castigated members not playing enough, as he sees it, for their defence, and he pointedly invited to lunch those who met the spending criteria they set, and I think the meeting was typical of the kind of international gatherings we've come to expect in the Trump era. Democracy is falling out with him and frankly any sort of world order is under a lot of pressure.